

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,995	06/20/2003	Ben Jai	5-4-52	5758	
Ryan, Mason a	7590 01/09/200 & Lewis II.P	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Suite 205		BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R			
1300 Post Roa Fairfield, CT (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2446		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/600,995	JAI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BENJAMIN R. BRUCKART	2446		

	BENJAMIN R. E	BRUCKART	2446						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH'S from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS		to at the a balat							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/o			cause					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding nu	mber of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		Ned - Mar O	offers & and and	DTOL 004)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the content of the conte			I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.									
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		data af filoso a Ni		ha sate and					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 43(3)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \(\text{ \									
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:									
/Jeffrey Pwu/	Benjami	n R Bruckart							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446	Examine Art Unit:	er							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The examiner maintains the rejection because the arguments are not persuasive. The applicant only presented arguments and no claim amendments

The Moir reference does teach that the rule file is compiled and does teach "one or more input rules comprise one or more executable statements."

The input rules are defined on page 4, para 54-56 where the subcomponents and dependencies are address. These configurations are executed to determine and control on how a device is to behave (para 56). Moir page 4, para 56-page 5, para 58; rule files and operations file descript operations of components. Executable statements is broad and is not limited to code or a certain type of statement. All the Moir reference has to show is that the statements are utilized or executed to perform an operation, that which is shown in Moir but the use of the parameters and configurations that dictate how a device is deployed and used.

The compriled rule file is (see para 56-58) when the parameters are configured and executed or utilized to create the output rules. Moir: page 5, para 58; the rule program is derived by compiling the rule file and operations file